

# Integration on $\mathbb{R}^n$

Carto Wong

January 4, 2003

## Definition and Basic Properties

Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed rectangle of the form  $A = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ . Recall that a partition of the interval  $[a_i, b_i]$  means a finite set

$$P_i = \{ a_i = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_{k-1} < x_k = b_i \}.$$

We now define the term **partition of  $A$**  to be a set of the form

$$P = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_n,$$

where each  $P_i$  is an ordinary partition of  $[a_i, b_i]$ . This partition  $P$  divides  $A$  into finitely many closed subrectangles  $S$  in the natural way.

**Notation.** Suppose a bounded function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and a partition  $P$  of  $A$  are given. For each subrectangle  $S$ , denote

$$m_S(f) := \inf_{x \in S} f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad M_S(f) := \sup_{x \in S} f(x).$$

These notations will be used throughout this article whenever the partition is clear from the context and there is no risk of confusion. Having  $m_S(f)$  and  $M_S(f)$  in hand, we define further that

$$(1) \quad L(f, P) := \sum_S m_S(f) \text{vol}^n(S),$$

$$(2) \quad U(f, P) := \sum_S M_S(f) \text{vol}^n(S).$$

where the summations run through all subrectangles  $S$ . The volume of  $S$ , denoted by  $\text{vol}^n(S)$  as in (1) and (2), is defined by the trivial way.

$L(f, P)$  and  $U(f, P)$  are called the **lower sum** and **upper sum** of  $f$  for the partition  $P$ . It is obvious from (1) and (2) that  $L(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$ .

**Notation.** We say that a partition  $P'$  refines  $P$  (or  $P'$  is a refinement of  $P$ ) if every subrectangle of  $P'$  is contained in a subrectangle of  $P$ . For any two partitions  $P_1, P_2$ , there exists **the smallest common refinement** of  $P_1, P_2$  and denoted by  $P_1 \star P_2$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $P'$  refines  $P$ , then  $L(f, P) \leq L(f, P') \leq U(f, P') \leq U(f, P)$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *For any two partitions  $P_1, P_2$ , one has  $L(f, P_1) \leq U(f, P_2)$ .*

The proofs of Lemma 1 and 2 are not difficult and are left to reader as exercise. Now, we are in the position to define the integral  $\int_A f$ .

**Definition.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed rectangle and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. The **lower/upper integral** of  $f$  are defined to be

$$\int_{\underline{A}} f := \sup L(f, P) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\overline{A}} f := \inf U(f, P)$$

respectively, where the supremum/infimum is taken over all possible partitions of  $A$ .

By Lemma 2, one has  $\int_{\underline{A}} f \leq \int_{\overline{A}} f$ . If it happens that  $\int_{\underline{A}} f = \int_{\overline{A}} f$ , the function  $f$  is said to be **integrable on  $A$** . The common value is called the **integral of  $f$  over  $A$** , denoted by  $\int_A f(x^1, \dots, x^n) dx^1 \cdots dx^n$  or simply by  $\int_A f$ . If  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\int_{[a, b]} f = \int_a^b f$  is our usual notation in one variable calculus.

**Notation.** Let us denote the set of all integrable functions on  $A$  by the symbol  $\mathfrak{R}(A)$ .

Here is a very useful criterion for integrability.

**Proposition 3.** *A bounded function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable if and only if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P$  such that*

$$(3) \quad U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Suppose for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a partition  $P$  satisfying (3). Then

$$\int_{\overline{A}} f - \int_{\underline{A}} f \leq U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $\int_{\overline{A}} f = \int_{\underline{A}} f$  and  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ .

On the other hand, if  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is given, then there exist partitions  $P_1, P_2$  such that

$$\int_A f - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < L(f, P_1) \quad \text{and} \quad U(f, P_2) < \int_A f + \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Take  $P = P_1 \star P_2$ , then

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) \leq U(f, P_2) - L(f, P_1) < \left( \int_A f + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) - \left( \int_A f - \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) = \epsilon.$$

□

One of the most important consequences of Theorem 3 is the following.

**Proposition 4.** *Continuous function  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is continuous, it is bounded. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $A$  is compact, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever } x, y \in A \text{ and } |x - y| < \delta.$$

Choose a partition  $P$  such that each subrectangle of  $P$  has diameter less than  $\delta$ . Then  $M_S(f) - m_S(f) \leq \epsilon$  for each subrectangle  $S$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_S (M_S(f) - m_S(f)) \text{vol}^n(S) \\ &\leq \epsilon \cdot \text{vol}^n(A). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  by Theorem 3. □

**Theorem 5 (Monotonicity).** *If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $f \leq g$ , then  $\int_A f \leq \int_A g$ .*

*Proof.* Simply note that  $L(f, P) \leq L(g, P)$  for any partition  $P$ . □

**Theorem 6 (Linearity).** *If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f + g, cf \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ . Moreover,*

$$\int_A (f + g) = \int_A f + \int_A g \quad \text{and} \quad \int_A (cf) = c \int_A f.$$

*Proof.* For any partition  $P$  and any subrectangle  $S$ , one has  $m_S(f + g) \geq m_S(f) + m_S(g)$ . So,  $L(f + g, P) \geq L(f, P) + L(g, P)$  and follows that

$$(4) \quad \int_{\underline{A}} (f + g) \geq L(f, P) + L(g, P) \quad \text{for all partition } P.$$

For any two partitions  $P_1, P_2$ , apply (4) to  $P = P_1 \star P_2$  and get

$$(5) \quad \int_{\underline{A}} (f + g) \geq L(f, P) + L(g, P) \geq L(f, P_1) + L(g, P_2).$$

Since  $P_1, P_2$  are arbitrary, taking supremum in (5) over all possible  $P_1, P_2$  leads to

$$(6) \quad \int_{\underline{-}A} (f + g) \geq \int_A f + \int_A g.$$

Similar argument shows

$$(7) \quad \int_{\overline{A}} (f + g) \leq \int_A f + \int_A g.$$

Now, (6) and (7) give

$$\int_{\underline{-}A} f = \int_{\overline{A}} f = \int_A f + \int_A g.$$

It remains to prove  $\int_A(cf) = c \int_A f$ . The case  $c \geq 0$  is easy because  $m_S(cf) = cm_S(f)$ . The case  $c = -1$  is also straightforward since  $m_S(-f) = -M_S(f)$ . The general cases follow by these.  $\square$

**Exercise.** Let  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $P$  be any partition of  $A$ . Prove that  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  if and only if  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(S)$  for each subrectangle  $S$ . In that case,  $\int_A f = \sum_S \int_S f$ .

## Lebesgue Criterion for Integrability

We begin this section by the following definition.

**Definition (Outer Measure).** Given a set  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  (not necessary a rectangle). The **outer measure** of  $F$  is defined by

$$m^*(F) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{vol}^n(U_i) \mid \text{each } U_i \text{ is open rectangle and } F \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \right\}.$$

Here are the basic properties of  $m^*$ .

- (i)  $m^*(F) = 0$  if  $F$  is countable;
- (ii)  $m^*(F) = \text{vol}^n(F)$  if  $F$  is rectangle (open or closed);
- (iii)  $m^*(E) \leq m^*(F)$  whenever  $E \subseteq F$ ;
- (iv)  $m^*(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(F_i)$  where  $F_1, F_2, \dots \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(v) There exists a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{M}$  containing all Borel sets such that

$$m^* \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m^*(F_i)$$

holds whenever  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  are disjoint members of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

**Remark.** (iii) is called **monotonicity** of  $m^*$  and (iv) is the **countable subadditivity**. An important corollary of (iv) is that countable union of measure zero sets has measure zero.

The set function  $m^*: \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called **Lebesgue outer measure**<sup>1</sup>. It can be used to define a more general kind of integral, namely, Lebesgue integral. This leads to another story which we are not going to discuss here.

We defined  $m^*$  in this section as a tool to introduce an elegant theorem concerning the sufficient and necessary condition for a function to be integrable. Before stating this theorem, let's see a lemma first.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed rectangle and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. If  $o(f, x) < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in A$ , then there is a partition  $P$  of  $A$  such that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon \cdot \text{vol}^n(A)$ .*

**Remark.** The oscillation  $o(f, x)$  is defined to be

$$o(f, x) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left( \sup_{\substack{y \in A \\ |x-y| < \delta}} f(y) - \inf_{\substack{y \in A \\ |x-y| < \delta}} f(y) \right).$$

*Proof.* For each  $x \in A$ , there is a closed rectangle  $S_x$  containing  $x$  as its interior such that  $M_{S_x}(f) - m_{S_x}(f) < \epsilon$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exists finitely many  $S_{x_1}, S_{x_2}, \dots, S_{x_k}$  that cover  $A$ . Take a partition  $P$  so that each subrectangle of  $P$  is contained in some  $S_{x_i}$ , the result follows.  $\square$

The next theorem is one of the main results in this article.

**Theorem 8 (Lebesgue Criterion).** *Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be a closed rectangle and  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded. Let  $B = \{x \in A \mid f \text{ is discontinuous at } x\}$ . We have  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  if and only if  $m^*(B) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $B = \{x \in A \mid o(f, x) > 0\}$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , write

$$B_j = \left\{ x \in A \mid o(f, x) \geq \frac{1}{j} \right\}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup>It's restriction to  $\mathfrak{M}$  is called Lebesgue measure and denoted by  $m$ .

Then each  $B_j$  is compact (why?) and  $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots$ . By the monotonicity and countable subadditivity of  $m^*$ ,  $m^*(B) = 0$  if and only if  $m^*(B_j) = 0$  for each  $j$ .

Suppose now  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is given. Choose a partition  $P$  so that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_j$  be the collection of subrectangles  $S$  that its interior intersects  $B_j$ . If  $S \in \mathfrak{S}_j$ , then  $M_S(f) - m_S(f) \geq \frac{1}{j}$  and follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(B_j) &= m^* \left( B_j \cap \bigcup_S S^\circ \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{S}_j} \text{vol}^n(S) \\ &\leq j \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{S}_j} (M_S(f) - m_S(f)) \text{vol}^n(S) \\ &\leq j (U(f, P) - L(f, P)) \\ &< j\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary,  $m^*(B_j) = 0$ . This is true for all  $j$ , so  $m^*(B) = 0$ .

Conversely, suppose  $m^*(B_j) = 0$  for each  $j$ . If  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\frac{1}{j} < \epsilon$ . For this  $j$ , there is a countable open rectangle cover  $\{U_i\}$  of  $B_j$  with  $\sum_i \text{vol}^n(U_i) < \epsilon$ . Since  $B_j$  is compact, we may assume  $\{U_i\} = \{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k\}$  is a finite cover. Now, choose a partition  $P$  so that its subrectangles can be divided into two classes:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{S}$ , consists of those  $S$  such that  $S \subseteq \overline{U_i}$  for some  $i$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{S}'$ , consists of those  $S$  with  $S \cap B_j = \emptyset$ .

Note that, if  $S \in \mathfrak{S}'$ , then  $o(f, x) < \frac{1}{j} < \epsilon$  for all  $x \in S$ . Lemma 7 allows us to assume further that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{S}'} (M_S(f) - m_S(f)) \text{vol}^n(S) < \epsilon \cdot \text{vol}^n(A).$$

Let  $M > 0$  be constant such that  $|f(x)| \leq M$  for all  $x \in A$ . Then

$$(9) \quad \sum_{S \in \mathfrak{S}} (M_S(f) - m_S(f)) \text{vol}^n(S) \leq 2M \sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}^n(U_i) < 2M\epsilon.$$

Finally, (8) and (9) together give

$$U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon(2M + \text{vol}^n(A)).$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ . □

**Corollary 8.1.** *If  $f, g \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ , then  $fg \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ .*

**Corollary 8.2.** *If  $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $\mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $g: f(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, then  $g \circ f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$ . In particular,  $|f| \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and by monotonicity of integral one has*

$$\left| \int_A f \right| \leq \int_A |f|.$$

**Corollary 8.3.** *Monotonic function  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is integrable.*

## Jordan-measurable Sets and Content

By Theorem 8, the characteristic function of a bounded set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is integrable if and only if the boundary of  $C$  has measure zero (since the set of discontinuous points is the boundary of  $C$ ).

**Definition (Jordan-measurable).** A bounded set  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  whose boundary has measure zero is called **Jordan-measurable**. In that case, the  $n$ -dimensional volume (or  $n$ -dimensional content) of  $C$  is defined by

$$\text{vol}^n(C) := \int_C 1 = \int_A \chi_C,$$

where  $A$  is a closed rectangle contains  $C$ .

The basic properties of Jordan-measurable sets are listed as follows.

- (i) If  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a finite set that  $C$  is Jordan-measurable and  $\text{vol}^n(C) = 0$ ;
- (ii) Finite union/intersection of Jordan-measurable sets is also Jordan-measurable;
- (iii)  $\text{vol}^n$  is finitely additive;
- (iv) Even if bounded open/closed set may not be Jordan-measurable;
- (v) For any Jordan-measurable  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\text{vol}^n(F) = 0$  implies  $m^*(F) = 0$ ;
- (vi) For compact set  $F$ ,  $\text{vol}^n(F) = 0$  if and only if  $m^*(F) = 0$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . The following two statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $F$  is Jordan-measurable and  $\text{vol}^n(F) = 0$ ;

(ii) For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists finitely many closed<sup>2</sup> rectangles  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  such that  $F \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k S_i$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}^n(S_i) < \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $F$  is Jordan-measurable and  $\int_A \mathcal{X}_F = 0$ , where  $A$  is a closed rectangle containing  $F$ . For any given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose a partition  $P$  such that  $U(f, P) < \epsilon$ . Then the collection of all subrectangles that intersect  $F$  is our desired covering.

Now, assume (ii) is true. Then  $F$  is Jordan-measurable (why?) and we want to prove  $\int_A \mathcal{X}_F = 0$ . Since  $\int_A \mathcal{X}_F \geq 0$  is evident, it remains to show  $\overline{\int}_A \mathcal{X}_F \leq 0$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given, take  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  as in (ii). By expanding each  $S_i$  slightly if necessary, we can assume further that  $F \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k S_i^\circ$ . Choose a partition  $P$  such that each subrectangle of  $P$  is either contained in some  $S_i$  or disjoint from  $F$ . For this partition  $P$ , one has

$$\overline{\int}_A \mathcal{X}_F \leq U(f, P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}^n(S_i) < \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, the proof is completed.  $\square$

We know that if two functions differ only on a finite set then they have the same integrability and the same integral (in the case they are integrable). More generally, we have the following theorem.

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $f, g: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be bounded functions (and  $A$  be a closed rectangle, as before). Suppose  $f \equiv g$  on  $A$  except on a set  $E$  of content zero. Then  $f$  and  $g$  have the same integrability. If, in addition, they are integrable, then*

$$\int_A f = \int_A g.$$

*Proof.* By the linearity of integration, we can assume without loss of generality that  $g \equiv 0$ .

Let  $M > 0$  be the bound of  $|f|$  (i.e.  $|f| \leq M$  on  $A$ ) and let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $E$  has content zero, Theorem 9 guarantees that there exists finitely many closed rectangles  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k \subseteq A$  such that  $E \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^k S_i^\circ$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \text{vol}^n(S_i) < \epsilon$ . Choose a partition  $P$  such that each subrectangle of  $P$  is either contained in some  $S_i$  or disjoint from  $E$ . For this partition  $P$ , one has

$$-M\epsilon \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq M\epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $f \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and  $\int_A f = 0$ .  $\square$

**Exercise.** Let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be Jordan-measurable. Prove that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K \subseteq C$  such that  $\int_{C-K} 1 < \epsilon$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>The word “closed” can be replaced by “open” in here.

## Fubini's Theorem

Roughly speaking, Fubini's Theorem says that we can interchange the order of integrals. The precise statement is given as follows.

**Theorem 11 (Fubini's Theorem).** *Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  be closed rectangles, and let  $f: A \times B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be integrable. For each  $x \in A$ , define the function  $g_x: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $g_x(y) := f(x, y)$  and define also*

$$\mathfrak{L}(x) := \int_{\underline{B}} g_x = \int_{\underline{B}} f(x, y) dy, \quad \mathfrak{U}(x) := \overline{\int}_B g_x = \overline{\int}_B f(x, y) dy.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{L}, \mathfrak{U} \in \mathfrak{R}(A)$  and

$$\int_A \mathfrak{L} = \int_A \mathfrak{U} = \int_{A \times B} f.$$

Sometimes it is written as

$$\int_A \int_{\underline{B}} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_A \overline{\int}_B f(x, y) dy dx = \int_{A \times B} f.$$

**Remark.** Similarly we have

$$\int_B \int_{\underline{A}} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_B \overline{\int}_A f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{A \times B} f.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_A \int_{\underline{B}} f(x, y) dy dx = \int_B \int_{\underline{A}} f(x, y) dx dy,$$

and

$$\int_A \overline{\int}_B f(x, y) dy dx = \int_B \overline{\int}_A f(x, y) dx dy.$$

If  $f$  is continuous, then

$$\int_A \int_B f(x, y) dy dx = \int_B \int_A f(x, y) dx dy,$$

saying that we can interchange the order of integrals.

*Proof.* Let  $P_A$  be a partition of  $A$  and  $P_B$  a partition of  $B$ . Together they give a partition  $P$  of  $A \times B$  in the natural way. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &= \sum_S m_S(f) \cdot \text{vol}^n(S) \\ &= \sum_{S_A, S_B} m_{S_A \times S_B}(f) \cdot \text{vol}^n(S_A \times S_B) \\ &= \sum_{S_A} \left( \left( \sum_{S_B} m_{S_A \times S_B}(f) \cdot \text{vol}^n(S_B) \right) \text{vol}^n(S_A) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note also that, for each  $x \in S_A$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{S_B} m_{S_A \times S_B}(f) \cdot \text{vol}^n(S_B) &\leq \sum_{S_B} m_{S_B}(g_x) \cdot \text{vol}^n(S_B) \\ &\leq \mathfrak{L}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, P) &\leq \sum_{S_A} \left( \left( \inf_{x \in S_A} \mathfrak{L}(x) \right) \text{vol}^n(S_A) \right) \\ &= L(\mathfrak{L}, P_A). \end{aligned}$$

Similar consideration gives  $U(f, P) \geq U(\mathfrak{U}, P_A)$ . So, we have

$$(10) \quad L(f, P) \leq L(\mathfrak{L}, P_A) \leq U(\mathfrak{L}, P_A) \leq U(\mathfrak{U}, P_A) \leq U(f, P).$$

Since (10) is true for all  $P_A, P_B$ , and since  $f$  is assumed to be integrable, it follows that

$$\int_A \mathfrak{L} = \int_{A \times B} f.$$

The assertion for  $\mathfrak{U}$  can be proved by similar argument. □

Here is an application of Fubini's Theorem.

**Proposition 12.** *Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be a function. If  $D_1 D_2 f, D_2 D_1 f$  exist and are continuous, then  $D_1 D_2 f = D_2 D_1 f$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume  $n = 2$ . Supposing the conclusion is false. By symmetry we can assume  $D_1 D_2 f(c) > D_2 D_1 f(c)$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , then the continuity of  $D_1 D_2 f, D_2 D_1 f$  guarantees that there is a closed rectangle  $A = [a_1, b_1] \times [a_2, b_2]$  containing  $c$  such that

$$D_1 D_2 f > D_2 D_1 f \quad \text{on } A.$$

So, we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} D_1 D_2 f(x, y) dx dy > \int_{a_2}^{b_2} \int_{a_1}^{b_1} D_2 D_1 f(x, y) dx dy.$$

The left member of (11) can be easily calculated by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus while the right member can be calculated using Fubini's Theorem.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S. of (11)} &= \int_{a_2}^{b_2} D_2 f(b_1, y) - D_2 f(a_1, y) dy \\ &= f(b_1, b_2) - f(b_1, a_2) - f(a_1, b_2) + f(a_1, a_2). \\ \text{R.H.S. of (11)} &= \int_{a_1}^{b_1} \int_{a_2}^{b_2} D_2 D_1 f(x, y) dy dx \quad (\text{by Fubini's Theorem}) \\ &= \int_{a_1}^{b_1} D_1 f(x, b_2) - D_1 f(x, a_2) dx \\ &= f(b_1, b_2) - f(a_1, b_2) - f(b_1, a_2) + f(a_1, a_2). \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that (11) is a contradiction. □